

BOARD APPOINTS ELECTION JUDGES

Two Hundred and Sixty-four Named
Today by Commissioners.

COMPLETE LIST OF DISTRICTS

Three Judges for Each District—Two
Democrats and One Re-
publican.

The board of county commissioners at today's meeting named 264 judges for the approaching general election in Salt Lake. Following is the complete list, the two first named being Democrats and the last named a Republican:

District No. 1—James Moffat, Alma Ash, W. A. Lee.

District No. 2—C. R. Robbins, J. D. Pardee, John C. Cox.

District No. 3—F. R. Hardy, John S. Sweeney, B. D. Blackman.

District No. 4—H. J. Smith, Herbert Penrose, A. B. Little.

District No. 5—Thomas Curtis, Andrew F. Smith, J. W. McMurry.

District No. 6—J. R. Foster, George Amason, J. C. Griffin.

District No. 7—J. M. Hawkins, John R. Sands, L. C. Kelsey.

District No. 8—Joseph Barrow, S. W. Danks, H. Spahr.

District No. 9—O. H. Pettit, Ed. Love-ry, Fred C. Bassett.

District No. 10—Gilbert A. McLean, H. S. Lacey, William M. Risley.

District No. 11—Joseph B. Taylor, Charles C. Buckle, Harry Atkinson.

District No. 12—J. W. Cunningham, Ben. Rives, Harrison Jenkins.

District No. 13—H. Dickinson, Harry Green, John A. Roeland.

District No. 14—Arch. Buchanan, Alex. A. Cowan Jr., W. A. Walker.

District No. 15—W. A. Walker, W. C. Hubbard, W. E. Wood.

District No. 16—N. H. Glenn, W. S. De Armond, H. Cartwright.

District No. 17—H. Watson, John Priestly, Hiram Thompson.

District No. 18—Walter J. Poulton, George Smith, E. J. Eardley.

District No. 19—H. C. Hartley, Heber Clive, A. C. Thorne.

District No. 20—George Thackrah, John Shea, C. F. Margetta.

District No. 21—H. Armstrong, H. V. Moley, C. M. Hammond.

District No. 22—Frank J. Gusten, Henry Tribbe, A. L. Williams.

District No. 23—Walter Barton, Walter Sears, Daniel W. Gamble.

District No. 24—William L. Binder, Robert Baird, Thomas Hull.

District No. 25—E. C. Holding, D. F. Baird, Ed. J. Johnson.

District No. 26—Thomas F. Howells, John Allen Jr., W. F. Price.

District No. 27—O. H. Howe, C. B. Paul, George S. McMillan.

District No. 28—Joseph Bull Jr., Harry Adams, A. F. Dornum.

District No. 29—S. Newton, S. H. Oney, Joseph E. McMillan.

District No. 30—John H. Back, A. E. Carr, Moses W. Taylor.

District No. 31—H. H. Haslam, H. W. Wiers, A. E. Haslam.

District No. 32—W. H. Holland, W. J. Newman, George A. Davis.

District No. 33—W. D. Boring, Ed. H. Davis, James E. Boring.

District No. 34—Philip Pugsley Jr., John A. Silver, William C. Webb.


District No. 35—J. L. Nebecker, Charles M. Lee.

District No. 36—Ben Hollingsworth, T. W. Armstrong.

District No. 37—W. C. Crawford, Alonzo Young, R. H. Anderson.

District No. 38—J. C. Cunningham, J. G. Kimball, Robert T. Burton Jr.

LEADERS!!



Victory crowned our efforts once more. Three gold medals, the highest awarded at our last State Fair, 1900.

Hewlett Bros. Co.

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH.

District No. 36—Everett V. Peck, Samuel C. Sadberry, Harry Sutton.

District No. 37—A. F. Cummings, Oscar Howell, R. A. Ure.

District No. 38—Joseph Hensley Sr., James A. Faust, John R. Smith.

WALKER HEARING ON.

The case of Joe Walker, accused of assaulting and robbing Jim Hamilton is being tried by Judge M. Master this afternoon.

It is alleged that on the 10th of the present month the defendant, Joe Walker, was in the Chrysler and saloon on Commercial street drinking and that Walker struck Hamilton who was drunk and robbed him of \$5.

top the Court and works of the Court.

TEMPLE NOTICES.

St. George, Utah, Aug. 22, 1900. The St. George Temple will close Friday evening, September 28th, and re-open November 20, 1900.

DAVID H. CANNON, President.

LICENSES TO MARRY.

The following marriage licenses were issued today: Wm. J. Tuddenham Jr., 24, and Alice M. Calder, 25, both of Salt Lake; City; Frank S. Turing, 35, of San Francisco, Cal., and Miss Lettie Moore, 35, of Los Angeles, Cal.; Hugh F. McDonald, 24, and Miss Florence T. Roberts, 19, both of Park City; Frederick G. Gyl, 35, and Miss Clara Hanchen, 33, both of Salt Lake City; Paul P. Maywood, 23, of Davenport, Iowa, and Miss Lois S. Ross, 30, of Sacramento, Cal.; David E. Butterfield, 24, of Taylorville, and Miss Annie C. Sison, 21, of East Jordan, Idaho; Samuel E. Hyman, 22, and Miss Fannie S. McMurray, both of Liberty, Ida.; Samuel Kelsey, 24, and Miss Anna M. Smith, 21, both of Salt Lake City; Thomas George Odell, 27, of Butte City, Mont., and Miss Maude Florence Hardy, 27, of Salt Lake City.

SUPREME COURT MATTERS.

The following cases were argued before the Supreme court today and taken under advisement:

Annie Gorrige, appellant, vs William B. Gorrige.

John Marti vs the American Smelting & Refining company, appellant.

Following are the cases heard yesterday:

W. S. McCracken, appellant, vs Queen of Sheba Mining company; argued and submitted.

Fisura Mining company, appellant, vs Old Susan Mining company; argued and submitted.

James Mickelson vs New East Tintic Railway company, appellant; appellant given till October 22nd to file a reply brief.

On Trial for Grand Larceny.

Judge Norrell and a jury today took up the case of the State against John Zwick, charged with grand larceny. Key Van Cott, prosecutor, and Judge Hatch defended the accused. The prisoner's specific offense consists of his having stolen ten head of cattle on June 20th, 1899, from Andrew McDowell of Park City. The trial had not concluded when this report closed.

ESTATE OF COL. PROWSE.

Brother of Dead Man Petitions Court for Letters of Administration.

George O. Prowse, brother of the late Col. Prowse, who was killed in the Sheep Ranch gambling den some few weeks ago, has filed a petition in the probate court, praying that he be appointed administrator of his deceased brother's estate. The estate, consisting of cash, jewelry and mining stock, is valued at \$5,000. The deceased was a widower and left no will. His heirs are a son, aged 12, now in China, and a daughter, the mother of deceased, and brothers and sisters residing in California. October 27th was set for the hearing.

Fatal Railway Accident.

Chicago, Oct. 16.—Two men were killed and one fatally injured by the derailment of a freight train on the Chicago & Alton at Lawndale, near here today. The dead:

Charles Eaton, engineer.

Wilson, fireman.

Injured: R. F. Strimling, brakeman.

The victims were all residents of Bloomington, Ill. The wreck was caused by the removal of a rail by a section gang making repairs. It is said they failed to post any danger signals.

Hood's Pills

Are prepared from Nature's mild laxatives, and while gentle are reliable and efficient. They

Rouse the Liver

Cure Sick Headache, Biliousness, Sour Stomach, and Constipation. Sold everywhere, 25c. per box.

Prepared by C. L. Hood & Co., Lowell, Mass.

DOCTOR PARK WILL CONTEST.

Delayed Action Does Not Mean Indefinite Postponement.

WILL BEGIN PROCEEDINGS.

Attorney Jones Claims a Year in Which to Commence Action—Says His Clients in the Right to Stay.

When the Doctor Park will contest sensation was sprung on the Salt Lake public a week ago, Attorney M. V. Jones declared that proceedings would be formally begun within a day or two. The fact that no steps have been taken in that direction up to today had caused some persons to incline to the belief that the matter would be dropped and no actual court contest made.

When Mr. Jones was seen in relation to the matter this afternoon, he said: "I will probably file the papers within the next week though I may conclude to defer action longer than that. The report that I must begin action this week is erroneous. I have a year in which to make the contest, and the contest will be made up on my part on that. We are in the fight to stay and we will see it through."

The other is to tell the truth in the beginning and get a customer that will stay to the end.

For nearly sixty years Ayer's Pills have been selling themselves over and over again to the same people.

J. C. AYER COMPANY, Lowell, Mass.

Ayer's Sarsaparilla, Ayer's Pills, Ayer's Hair Vigor, Ayer's Eye Cure, Ayer's Cherry Pectoral, Ayer's Constipation.

AMUSEMENTS.

"A Hot Old Time" is always good for a crowded house in Salt Lake, and last night proved no exception to the rule. The Grand was crowded, and everyone seemed pleased with the bill-of-fare. The skit has been brought up to date with a great number of special acts, chief among which are the work of Jess Baker, the Gleasons and Leach, the Gleasons being specially good. The skit will run tonight and tomorrow night, with a Wednesday matinee.

J. M. Phillips, representing "Black Crook, Jr.," which plays at the Theater Friday, Saturday and Sunday afternoon, is in the city billing his spectacular show. The seat sale opens tomorrow.

Matt L. Berry of "A Texas Steer Co.," and Eddie W. Dunn, for Stuart Robson, both early attractions at the Theater, are in the city.

THE LATE BARON RUSSELL.

Baron Russell of Killowen, Lord Chief Justice of England, who died at Kensington on August 10th, was the first Irishman and the first Roman Catholic who had filled the office of attorney general and lord chief justice of Great Britain since the Reformation. He succeeded Lord Coleridge as chief justice. He was known not only as a great lawyer, but as a clever and able jurist, but his reputation and friendships extended to this country, where twice he had been a most welcome guest. Personally he was a thorough student in legal matters, was a lover of racing and other athletic sports, was fond of society life, and withal was a keen and keenly interested in the work of the House of Commons. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Reformation. He was born in 1802, of a family of ancient lineage, and was educated at Trinity college, Dublin, an unusual step for a Catholic to take. He was admitted to the bar in 1829, and first attracted public attention as a cross-examiner in the notorious Irish case of Yelverton vs. Yelverton. After that he was employed in many cases that secured public notice. He was counsel for Mr. Labouchere in many of his cases against the Government. He was retained by Lady Colclough in her divorce suit. He defended Mrs. Maybrick and never ceased to believe in her innocence. He was a member of the House of Commons for many years, and was a member of the House of Lords since the Re